Applied Statistical Methods - Exercise 6

Peter von Rohr

2020-03-30

Problem 1: Bayesian Regression Analysis

Given is the earlier used dataset of breast circumference and body weight.

Table 1: Dataset for Regression of Body Weight on Breast Circumference for ten Animals

The model that is used is a simple linear regression model given by

$$
y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * x_i + \epsilon_i
$$

where y_i corresponds to the body weight of animal *i*, x_i is the breast circumference of animal *i*, β_0 is the unknown intercept and β_1 is the unknown regression coefficient. For reasons of simplicity, we assume the residual variance σ^2 to be known. For the later computations, we insert the estimate that is obtained from the $lm()$ function. This value corresponds to $\sigma^2 = 122.8$.

Bayesian Estimation Of Unknowns

.

As already mentioned during the lecture, Bayesian estimates of unknowns are based on the posterior distribution of the unknowns given the knowns. For our regression model the unknowns correspond to

$$
\beta = \left[\begin{array}{c} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{array} \right]
$$

The posterior distribution of the unknowns given the knowns is *f*(*β*|*y*). Using Bayes' Theorem we can write $f(\beta|y)$ as

$$
f(\beta|y) = \frac{f(\beta, y)}{f(y)}
$$

=
$$
\frac{f(y|\beta)f(\beta)}{f(y)}
$$

$$
\propto f(y|\beta)f(\beta)
$$

When we do not have any specific prior knowledge about β , the prior distribution $f(\beta)$ for the unknown β is set to a constant. Therefore we can write

$$
f(\beta|y) \propto f(y|\beta) f(\beta)
$$

$$
\propto f(y|\beta)
$$

Assuming a normal distribution for the data causes the likelihood $f(y|\beta)$ to be a multivariate normal distribution.

$$
f(\beta|y) \propto f(y|\beta)
$$

= $(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta)}{\sigma^2}\right\}$ (1)

The above expression [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is an $n-$ dimensional normal distribution with expected value $X\beta$ and variancecovariance matrix corresponding to $I\sigma^2$. But because we have just two unknowns β_0 and β_1 the posterior distribution $f(\beta|y)$ must have two dimensions and not *n*. The following re-arrangement can solve this problem. Let us set the variable *Q* to

$$
Q = (y - X\beta)^{T}(y - X\beta) = y^{T}y - 2y^{T}X\beta + \beta^{T}(X^{T}X)\beta
$$

Introducing the least squares estimate $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$ into the above equation by replacing $y^T X$ with $\hat{\beta}^T (X^T X)$ results in

$$
Q = y^T y - 2\hat{\beta}^T (X^T X)\beta + \beta^T (X^T X)\beta = y^T y + (\beta - \hat{\beta})^T (X^T X)(\beta - \hat{\beta}) - \hat{\beta}^T (X^T X)\hat{\beta}
$$

Inserting this last result back into [\(1\)](#page-1-0) gives

$$
f(\beta|y) \propto f(y|\beta)
$$

\n
$$
= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta)}{\sigma^2}\right\}
$$

\n
$$
= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^T y + (\beta - \hat{\beta})^T (X^T X)(\beta - \hat{\beta}) - \hat{\beta}^T (X^T X)\hat{\beta}}{\sigma^2}\right\}
$$

\n
$$
= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \left[exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^T y}{\sigma^2}\right\} * exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\beta - \hat{\beta})^T (X^T X)(\beta - \hat{\beta})}{\sigma^2}\right\} * exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{-\hat{\beta}^T (X^T X)\hat{\beta}}{\sigma^2}\right\}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\propto exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\beta - \hat{\beta})^T (X^T X)(\beta - \hat{\beta})}{\sigma^2}\right\}
$$
 (2)

The last proportionality results from the fact that only the term depending on *β* is retained. All other terms not depending on β are constant factors with respect to β and can therefore be dropped. Thus $f(\beta|y)$ can be written as

$$
f(\beta|y) \propto exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\beta-\hat{\beta})^T(X^TX)(\beta-\hat{\beta})}{\sigma^2}\right\}
$$

which is recognized as proportional to a two dimensional normal density with mean $\hat{\beta}$ and variance $(X^T X)^{-1} \sigma^2$. Thus in the simple setting the mean of the posterior mean can already be seen from the above formula. But in a more complex setting, the posterior distribution does not have a standard form and we need to setup a sampling scheme which allows us to draw random numbers from the posterior distribution. The sampling scheme that we are introducing here is called the **Gibbs Sampler**.

Gibbs Sampler for *β*

The simple regression model that we are using for the breast circumference and the body weight data can be written in matrix-vector notation as

$$
y = 1\beta_0 + x\beta_1 + \epsilon
$$

In the Gibbs sampling scheme both unknowns *β*⁰ and *β*¹ are sampled from their full conditional distributions. For β_0 the full conditional posterior distribution is $f(\beta_0|\beta_1,y)$ which is computed for the current value of β_1 . Separating β_0 from the other unknowns yields the linear model

$$
w_0 = 1\beta_0 + \epsilon
$$

where $w_0 = y - x\beta_1$. The least squares estimator of β_0 is

$$
\hat{\beta}_0 = (1^T 1)^{-1} 1^T w_0
$$

with variance

$$
var(\hat{\beta}_0) = (1^T 1)^{-1} \sigma^2
$$

Applying the same strategy as for $f(\beta|y)$, it can be shown that $f(\beta_0|\beta_1,y)$ is a normal distribution with mean $\hat{\beta}_0$ as mean and $(1^T1)^{-1}\sigma^2$ as variance. The full-conditional posterior of β_1 can be derived the same way, leading to

$$
\hat{\beta}_1 = (x^T x)^{-1} x^T w_1
$$

with variance $var(\hat{\beta}_1) = (x^T x)^{-1} \sigma^2$ where $w_1 = y - 1\beta_0$.

Your Task

- Create a Gibbs Sampling scheme for the dataset shown in Table [1.](#page-0-0)
- Use the mean of the generated samples as an estimate for the unknowns β_0 and β_1 .